Computers and Aviation



Antony Jameson
(FRS, FRAeS, FREng, Foreign Associate of NAE, Fellow of AIAA)
Professor of Engineering
Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics
Stanford University

Santa Clara University, Mechanical Engineering Winter Seminar
February 25, 2015

STANFORD
AERONAUTICS &
ASTRONAUTICS

Objective

- **To trace the parallel development of computing and flight over the last 300 years, culminating in a fusion of engineering, mathematics and computing in modern aviation.**

Fusion of Flight Experiments, Mathematics, and Computing:



History of Aviation











Leonardo da Vinci

- laid out various concepts of flying machines

STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

George Cayley (1799 – 1850's)
- identified the four aerodynamic forces
- set forth concept of the modern airplane
- built a successful human-carrying glider



Otto Lilienthal
- was an important source of inspiration and information for practical flying machine



Samuel P. Langley (1896)
- built powered, heavier-than-air machine that had achieved sustained flight (no pilot)



Orville and Wilbur Wright (1903)

- completed the first powered, controlled flight at Kitty Hawk, North Carolina.



DC 3 Design Team (1935):

- Dutch Kindelberger
- Lee Atwood
- Jack Northrop
- Arthur Raymond
- Assisted by Caltech



TRANSCONTINENTAL & WESTERN AIR, INC.

IN MUNICIPAL AIRPORT
MUNICIPAL AIRPORT
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI

August 2nd,
19 32

Douglas Aircraft Corporation,
Clover Field,
Santa Monica, California.

Attention: Mr. Donald Douglas

Dear Mr. Douglas:

Transcontinental & Western Air is interested in purchasing ten or more trimotored transport planes. I am attaching our general performance specifications, covering this equipment and would appreciate your advising whether your Company is interested in this manufacturing job.

If so, approximately how long would it take to turn out the first plane for service tests?

Very truly yours,

Jack Frye
Vice President
In Charge of Operations

JF/GS
Encl.

N.B. Please consider this information confidential and return specifications if you are not interested.

TRANSCONTINENTAL & WESTERN AIR, INC.

General Performance Specifications
Transport Plane

1. Type: All metal trimotored monoplane preferred but combination structure or biplane would be considered. Main internal structure must be metal.

2. Power: Three engines of 500 to 650 h.p. (Wasp with 10-1 supercharger; 6-1 compression G.E.).

3. Weight: Gross (maximum) 14,200 lbs.

4. Weight allowance for radio and wing cell bins 350 lbs.

5. Weight allowance must also be made for complete instruments, night flying equipment, fuel capacity for cruising range of 1050 miles at 150 m.p.h., crew of two, at least 12 passengers with comfortable seats and ample room, and the usual miscellaneous equipment carried on a passenger plane of this type. Payload should be at least 2,500 lbs. with full equipment and fuel for maximum range.

6. Performance

Top speed sea level (minimum)	185 m.p.h.
Cruising speed sea level - 75 % top speed	145 m.p.h. plus
Landing speed not more than	65 m.p.h.
Rate of climb sea level (minimum)	1200 ft. p.m.
Service ceiling (minimum)	21000 ft.
Service ceiling any two engines	10000 ft.

This plane, fully loaded, must make satisfactory take-offs under good control at any TWA airport on any combination of two engines.

Kansas City, Missouri.
August 2nd, 1932

Spitfire (1936)

- designed by R.J. Mitchell
- Beverley Shenstone (Wing)



THE SUPERMARINE AVIATION WORKS (VICKERS) LIMITED.

EXPENDITURE ON PROTOTYPE AIRCRAFT (OTHER THAN AGAINST GRANTS) AT 29TH FEBRUARY, 1936.

THIS IS THE Statement REFERRED TO IN MINUTE No. 11 OF BOARD MEETING OF 2 Apr 1936 Secretary.

Modified Single-Seater Fighter K.5054.

	£.
Expenditure at 31st December, 1935.	11,839.
Valuation in Balance Sheet at 31st December, 1935.	11,839.

Total Expenditure to	31.1.36.	Period to 29.2.36.	
Material	£3,513	£198	3,711
Labour	3,841	530	4,371
Charges - Factory and others	5,760	795	6,555
Total	£13,114	1,523	14,637

Expenditure to first flight — Not yet flown £15,000 approx. Have 5 Hurr 36

Contract Price — Approx. £11,930

Rolls-Royce Limited have contributed towards the development of this type £7,500

STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

Frank Whittle
- patent (1930)
- built first engine (1937)

- First flight in Gloster E.28/39 (1941)



Hans Von Ohain
- was the first to power an all-jet aircraft (1938)



- Heinkel He 178

ME 262 (1941)

Boeing 747 (1969)
• designed led by Joe Sutter

SR 71 (1964)
• design led by Kelly Johnson and Ben Rich

Airbus 380 (2005)

History of Computers



Pascal's Pascaline (1642)



Leibniz's Stepped Reckoner (1640's)



Babbage's Difference Engine and Analytic Engine (1822)

In a vivid demonstration of the power of his invention, Joseph-Marie Jacquard, using 10,000 punch cards, programmed a loom to weave a portrait of himself in black and white silk (above).

Jacquard's Loom (1801)

STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS





Alan Turing (1912-1954)
- Turing Machine



John von Neumann (1944)
von Neumann architecture

Mark I (1944)
- first programmable digital computer



ACE (1945)
- Automatic Computing Engine



ENIAC (1946)
- Electronic Numerical Integrator And Computer

Supercomputers Timeline

Cray-1

Fujitsu Numerical Wind Tunnel

1964	CDC 6600	3 MFLOPS	AEC-Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, California, USA
1969	CDC 7600	36 MFLOPS	
1974	CDC STAR-100	100 MFLOPS	
1975	Burroughs ILLIAC IV	150 MFLOPS	NASA Ames Research Center, California, USA
1976	Cray-1	250 MFLOPS	Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico, USA (80+ sold worldwide)
1981	CDC Cyber 205	400 MFLOPS	(~40 systems worldwide)
1983	Cray X-MP/4	941 MFLOPS	U.S. Department of Energy (DoE) Los Alamos National Laboratory; Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory; Battelle; Boeing
1984	M-13	2.4 GFLOPS	Scientific Research Institute of Computer Complexes, Moscow, USSR
1985	Cray-2/8	3.9 GFLOPS	DoE-Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, California, USA
1989	ETA10-G/8	10.3 GFLOPS	Florida State University, Florida, USA
1990	NEC SX-3/44R	23.2 GFLOPS	NEC Fuchu Plant, Fuchū,_Tokyo, Japan
1993	Thinking Machines CM-5/1024	59.7 GFLOPS	DoE-Los Alamos National Laboratory; National Security Agency
	Fujitsu Numerical Wind Tunnel	124.50 GFLOPS	National Aerospace Laboratory, Tokyo, Japan
	Intel Paragon XP/S 140	143.40 GFLOPS	DoE-Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico, USA
1994	Fujitsu Numerical Wind Tunnel	170.40 GFLOPS	National Aerospace Laboratory, Tokyo, Japan
1996	Hitachi SR2201/1024	220.4 GFLOPS	University of Tokyo, Japan
	Hitachi/Tsukuba CP-PACS/2048	368.2 GFLOPS	Center for Computational Physics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
1997	Intel ASCI Red/9152	1.338 TFLOPS	DoE-Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico, USA
1999	Intel ASCI Red/9632	2.3796 TFLOPS	

STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

Supercomputers Timeline

2000	IBM ASCI White	7.226 TFLOPS	DoE-Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, California, USA
2002	NEC Earth Simulator	35.86 TFLOPS	Earth Simulator Center, Yokohama, Japan
2004	IBM Blue Gene/L	70.72 TFLOPS	DoE/IBM Rochester, Minnesota, USA
2005		136.8 TFLOPS	DoE/U.S. National Nuclear Security Administration, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, California, USA
		280.6 TFLOPS	
2007		478.2 TFLOPS	
2008	IBM Roadrunner	1.026 PFLOPS	DoE-Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico, USA
		1.105 PFLOPS	
2009	Cray Jaguar	1.759 PFLOPS	DoE-Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA

2012 Sequoia 20 PFLOPS IBM


NEC Earth Simulator


IBM Blue Gene

Personal Computers

Xerox Alto 1973

Altair 1975

Apple II 1977

IBM PC 1981

PC Laptop and MacBook (2000's)

Microprocessor Timeline

8086

POWER CPU (IBM)

80386

Pentium

Core 2 Duo

Year	Name	Developer	Mfg. Process	Transistors	Clock	Bits	Core
1971	4004	Intel	10 µm	2,250	108 kHz	4	1
1972	8008	Intel	10 µm	3,500	200 kHz	8	1
1974	6800	Motorola	-	4,100	2 MHz	8	1
1978	8086	Intel	3 µm	29,000	4.77 MHz	16	1
1979	68000	Motorola	4 µm	68,000	8 MHz	16/32	1
1982	80286	Intel	1.5 µm	134,000	6 MHz	16	1
1985	80386	Intel	1.5 µm	275,000	16 MHz	32	1
1989	80486	Intel	1 µm	1.2 M	25 MHz	32	1
1990	Power1	IBM	1 µm	6.9 M	20-30 MHz	32	1
1993	Pentium	Intel	0.8 µm	3.1 M	66 MHz	32	1
1997	Pentium II	Intel	0.25 µm	7.5 M	300 MHz	32	1
1999	Pentium III	Intel	0.18 µm	9.5 M	500 MHz	32	1
2000	Pentium IV	Intel	0.18 µm	42 M	1.5 GHz	32	1
2001	Power4	IBM	90 nm	174 M	1.1-1.4 GHz	64	1
2003	Opteron	AMD	130 nm	106 M	1.4-2.4 GHz	32/64	1
2006	Core Duo	Intel	65 nm	152 M	2 GHz	64	2
2006	Quad Core Xeon	Intel	65 nm	291 M	3 GHz	64	4
2007	Core 2 Quad	Intel	65 nm	582 M	2.4 GHz	64	4
2008	Core i7	Intel	45 nm	774 M	2.933 GHz	64	4
2008	Six Core Xeon	Intel	45 nm	1,900 M	2.667 GHz	64	6

Microprocessor Progress – Intel



Gordon Moore
Intel Co-Founder



Marcian E. "Ted" Hoff
Credited to be the inventor of the 1st microprocessor—Intel 4004



Robert Noyce
Intel Co-Founder



50nm transistor dimension is ~2000x smaller than diameter of human hair

STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

'Specific Computing Power' – Jameson and Vassberg (2001)

- Weight vs Capability
- The dramatic increase in computational capability is accompanied by equally dramatic decrease in the weight and cost of the computer.

❖ While computers were getting more powerful, enabling aerodynamic and structural calculations of complete aircraft, and simulations of the evolution of the universe, they were also getting smaller, enabling airborne computers with onboard software and fly-by-wire.



Impact of Computer Evolution With Constant Performance

- **The Modern Role of Computing in Aviation**

Major Impact in Multiple Ways

① Computational Analysis for Structural and Aerodynamic Design
② Computer Aided Design (CAD) – Paperless Airplane
③ Computational Control and Navigation – Fly-by-Wire

Major Milestones

① First airplane with wing designed by CFD – Canadair Challenger (1977)
② First commercial aircraft with fly-by-wire – Airbus A320 (1982)
③ First commercial aircraft with digital design – Boeing B777 (1994)

Emergence of Computational Structural Mechanics (CSM) 1960 - 1980

* Now embodied in commercial software such as MSC NASTRAN & ANSYS

History of Finite Element Analysis (FEA)

Timeline: Milestones in FEA and meshless basis function development

1779	Lagrange polynomials
1864	Hermite polynomials
1943	Linear triangle
1960	Clough coins the name "finite elements"
1961	Bilinear quadrilateral
1962	Linear tetrahedron
1965–1968	C^1-continuous triangles and quadrilaterals
1966	Isoparametric elements
1968–1971	Variable-number-of-nodes elements
1977–1986	$H(\text{div})$, $H(\text{curl})$, and $H(\text{div}) \oplus H(\text{curl})$ elements
1992–1996	Meshless methods


John Argyris


Ivo Babuška


Ted Belytschko


Franco Brezzi


Ray Clough


Richard Courant


J. Tinsley Oden


D. R. J. Owen


Pierre Arnaud Raviart


Leszek Demkowicz


Jim Douglas, Jr.


Richard Gallagher


Robert L. Taylor


Olgierd C. Zienkiewicz


Bruce Irons


Wing Kam Liu


Jean-Claude Nédélec

STANFORD
AERONAUTICS &
ASTRONAUTICS

Mathematical Theory of Fluid Dynamics

Mathematic Theory of Fluid Dynamics

Three Main Eras

- 1680-1920 Analytical linear theories
  - Newton
  - Bernoulli
  - Euler
  - Laplace
  - Kutta
  - Prandtl
  - Glauert

- 1920-1960 Analytical asymptotic and nonlinear theories
  - von Karman
  - Taylor
  - Lighthill

- 1960-Present Computational Fluid Dynamics
  - fully-nonlinear calculations

STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

1680-1920
Analytical linear theories



Daniel Bernoulli

(1700-1782)

- Hydrodynamics
- Bernoulli's Principle
  - in an inviscid flow an increase in the fluid speed occurs with a decrease in pressure or potential energy

$$\frac{v^2}{2} + gz + \frac{p}{\rho} = \text{constant}$$

Leonhard Euler

(1707-1783)



- Euler Equations
  - govern flow of fluid with no viscosity
  - directly represent conservation of mass, momentum, and energy

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \otimes (\rho \mathbf{u})) + \nabla p = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}(E + p)) = 0,$$

Pierre-Simon Laplace

(1749-1827)

Lord Kelvin

(1749-1827)



- Laplace Equation
  - describes behavior of fluid potential

$$\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial y^2}+\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial z^2}=0.$$

- Kelvin's Theorem
  - Circulation, $\Gamma = \int V \bullet dS$, remains constant in Inviscid flow
  - Hence inviscid incompressible flow is generally irrotational $\nabla \times V = 0$
  - So one can set $V = \nabla\phi$ and $\nabla \bullet V = 0$
- d'Alembert's Paradox
  - Zero drag in frictionless flow



Claude-Louis Navier

(1785-1836)

1822

George Gabriel Stokes

(1819-1903)

1845

- Navier-Stokes Equations
  - govern motion of fluid
  - arise from applying Newton's second law to fluid motion
  - assume that the fluid stress is proportional to the gradient of velocity, plus a pressure term
- Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations
- Millennium Prize Problem
  - Navier–Stokes existence and smoothness
  - theoretical understanding of the solutions to these equations is incomplete
  - solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations often include turbulence, which remains one of the greatest unsolved problems in physics

Ludwig Boltzmann

(1844-1906)

Boltzmann Equation

- For probability density in velocity and position space

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{F}{m}\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\Big|_{\text{collision}}$$

William Rankine

(1820-1872) &

Pierre-Henri Hugoniot

(1851-1887)

Rankine-Hugoniot shock relation

$$\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} = \frac{\frac{p_2}{p_1}(\gamma+1)+(\gamma-1)}{(\gamma+1)+\frac{p_2}{p_1}(\gamma-1)} = \frac{u_1}{u_2}$$

$$\frac{p_2}{p_1} = \frac{\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}(\gamma+1)-(\gamma-1)}{(\gamma+1)-\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}(\gamma-1)}.$$

• Reynolds Number

Osborne Reynolds

(1842-1912)



$$\mathrm{Re} = \frac{\rho V L}{\mu} = \frac{V L}{\nu} = \frac{Q L}{\nu A}$$

❖ Re No. can be understood as the ratio of the inertial forces to the viscous forces

❖ Re No. sets the smallest scales of turbulent motion

❖ the largest eddies are dictated by the flow geometry

❖ the smallest scales are dictated by the viscosity



STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

Ernst Mach

(1838-1916)

- # Mach Number $M = v/a$

❖the speed, *v*, of an object moving through a fluid substance divided by the speed of sound *a*.

❖Shock waves appear in transonic and supersonic flow



STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

Circulation Theory of Lift

Frederick Lanchester

(1868-1946)



Martin Wilhelm Kutta

(1867-1944) &

Nikolai Joukowski

(1847-1921)



- Kutta-Joukowski Theorem $L = \rho_\infty V_\infty \Gamma_\infty,$
  - relates the lift generated by a right cylinder to the speed of the cylinder through the fluid, the density of the fluid, and the circulation



Ludwig Prandtl

(1875-1953)





- Lifting Line Theory



- Prandtl number

$$\mathrm{Pr} = \frac{\nu}{\alpha} = \frac{\text{viscous diffusion rate}}{\text{thermal diffusion rate}} = \frac{c_p \mu}{k}$$

- Boundary Layer Theory



- Oblique\Expansion Shock Wave (Prandtl-Glauert Correction)

1920-1960

Analytical asymptotic and nonlinear theories

G. I. Taylor
(1886-1975)

- Shock Wave Structure



Theodore von Kármán
(1881-1963)



Von Kármán Vortex Street

Karman vortex street behind a cylinder placed in uniform flow. Re ~ 300 [Courtesy: Sadotoshi Taneda; from An Album of Fluid Motion by Van Dyke (1982)]





Max Munk
(b. 1890) &
Hermann Glauert
(1892-1934)

- Thin Airfoil Theory

$$c_L = c_{L_0} + 2\pi\alpha$$



Adolf Busemann
(1901-1986)

- Swept Wing Theory

R.T. Jones
(1910-1999)



Andrey Kolmogorov

(1903-1987)

- Turbulence
- Kolmogorov microscale

  Smallest scale ~ 1/(Re)$^{3/4}$



Richard Whitcomb

(1921-2009)

- Supercritical Airfoil

- Winglet

- Transonic Area Rule (“Coke Bottle”)



Emergence of CFD 1965–2005

❖In 1960, the underlying principles of fluid dynamics and the formulation of the governing equations (potential flow, Euler, RANS) were well established.

❖The new element was the emergence of powerful enough computers to make numerical solution possible – to carry this out required new algorithms.

❖The emergence of CFD in the 1965 – 2005 period depended on a combination of advances in computer power and algorithms.

Hierarchy of Equations





Multidisciplinary Nature of CFD

Basic Principle of Finite Volume Schemes for CFD

① Divide the domain into a grid of computational cells



② Apply the conservation laws of mass, momentum and energy in integral form separately for each cell

③ 5N equations for 5N unknowns on a grid of N cells

Examples: Northrop YF23, A320 and SST

Euler Solutions
around 1985-1990







Examples: Unstructured TAU Code

Tool for complex configurations

- hybrid meshes, cell vertex / cell centered
- high-level turbulence & transition models (RSM, DES, linear stability methods)
- state-of-the-art algorithms (JST, multigrid,…)
- local mesh adaptation
- chimera technique
- fluid / structure coupling
- continuous / discrete adjoint
- extensions to hypersonic flows







CFD Contributions to A380 & B787

Airbus A380

Boeing 787

STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

Airplane Design Considerations

Overall Airplane Design Process

15-30 engineers
1.5 years
$6-12 million

Conceptual Design

Defines Mission
Preliminary sizing
Weight, performance

100-300 engineers
2.5 years
$60-120 million

Preliminary Design

6000 engineers
5 years
$3-12 billion

Final Design

Airplane Industry Cash Flow



Breguet Range Formula

For a jet airplane:

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = V\frac{dW}{dx} = -sfcT = -sfc\frac{W}{L/D}$$

which subsequently leads to:

$$dx = -\frac{V}{sfc}\frac{L}{D}\frac{dW}{W}$$

Flying at a fixed (VL/D), the airplane range is expressed as:

$$\boxed{R = \frac{V}{sfc}\frac{L}{D}log\frac{W_1}{W_2}}$$

where

- *sfc is the engine specific fuel consumption*
- *W_1 is the take-off weight*
- *W_2 is the landing weight*

The Breguet equation clearly exposes the multidisciplinary nature of the design problem.

- A lightweight structure is needed to minimize W_1
- The specific fuel consumption is mainly the province of the engine manufacturers, and in fact, the largest advances during the last 30~years have been in engine efficiency
- The aerodynamic designer should try to maximize VL/D

STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

Transonic Flight

Aerodynamic Design to Maximize VL/D

❖the cruising speed should be increased until the onset of drag rise due to the formation of shock waves. Shock Wave

❖the best cruising speed is the transonic regime

Limitation due to Buffet

❖With increasing shock strength, the pressure jump separates the flow

❖This leads to buffet

❖This sets the airplane performance limit

Typical Pattern of Transonic Flow over an Airfoil

❖Sonic Line

❖Shock Wave

❖Boundary Layer



Effect of Drag Rise





- Drag Rise occurs at around Mach=0.85
- B747 flies at the transonic regime at M=0.85 with L/D=17
- Concorde flies supersonic at M=2 with L/D=7

Attained L/D for Airplanes

DC-3:	13
B717:	14
B707:	18.6

B747-400:	19.4
B777:	19.7
B787:	20.8
A380:	19.9

Global Flyer:	37
Best Sailplanes:	60

Concorde:	7.5 (Mach 2)
SR-71:	6 (Mach 3)

2D Laminar Airfoil: 500

Global Hawk

General characteristics
- ❖Crew: 0
- ❖Length: 44 ft 5 in (13.54 m)
- ❖Wingspan: 116 ft 2 in (35.41 m)
- ❖Height: 15 ft 2 in (4.62 m)
- ❖Empty weight: 8,490 lb (3,851 kg)
- ❖Gross weight: 22,900 lb (10,387 kg)
- ❖Powerplant: 1 × Allison Rolls-Royce AE3007H turbofan engine, 7,050 lbf (31.4 kN) thrust

Performance
- ❖Cruise speed: 404 mph (351 kn; 650 km/h)
- ❖Endurance: 36 hours
- ❖Service ceiling: 65,000 ft (19,812 m)

Global Flyer

General characteristics
- ❖Crew: 1
- ❖Length: 44 ft 1 in (13.44 m)
- ❖Wingspan: 114 ft 0 in (34.75 m)
- ❖Height: 13 ft 3 in (4.05 m)
- ❖Wing area: 400 ft² (37.16 m²)
- ❖Empty weight: 3700 lb (1678 kg)
- ❖Fuel weight: 18100 lb
- ❖Gross weight: 22100 lb (10024 kg)
- ❖Powerplant: 1 Williams FJ44-2 turbofan, 2300 lbf (11.01 kN)

Performance
- ❖Maximum speed: 196 mph (315 km/h)
- ❖Range: 19,000 miles (35,188 km)
- ❖Service ceiling: 51,000 ft (15,444 m)
- ❖Maximum glide ratio: 37

Control Theory Approach to Design

A wing is a device to control the flow. Apply the theory of control of partial differential equations (J.L. Lions) in conjunction with CFD.

References:

- Pironneau (1964) Optimum shape design for subsonic potential flow
- Jameson (1988) Optimum shape design for transonic and supersonic flow modeled by the transonic potential flow equation and the Euler equations

Application: Low Sweep Wing Redesign using RK-SGS Scheme



Initial



Final

Mesh size=256x64x48, Design Steps=15, Design variables=127x33=4191 surface mesh points

STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

Gulfstream G650 Wind Tunnel Testing at NASA Langley, 08/09/2006

History of Computer Aided Design (CAD)

Timeline: Milestones in CAD representations

1912	Bernstein polynomials
1946	Schoenberg coins the name "spline"
1959	de Casteljau algorithm
1966–1972	Bézier curves and surfaces
1971, 1972	Cox-de Boor recursion
1972	B-splines
1975	NURBS
1978	Catmull–Clark and Doo–Sabin subdivision surfaces
1980	Oslo knot insertion algorithm
1987	Loop subdivision
1987, 1989	Polar forms, blossoms
1996–present	Triangular and tetrahedral B-splines
2003	T-splines


Sergei Bernstein


Pierre Bézier


Ed Catmull


Jim Clark


Elaine Cohen


Carl de Boor


Rich Riesenfeld


Malcolm Sabin


Isaac Schoenberg


David Gu


Klaus Höllig


Charles Loop


Peter Schröder


Larry Schumaker


Tom Sederberg


Tom Lyche


Jörg Peters


Ulrich Reif

STANFORD
AERONAUTICS &
ASTRONAUTICS

CAD using NURBS





The Basics of Computer Aided Design





2D CAD Representation and Mesh



3D CAD Representation and Mesh

CAD Application in Aircraft Design and Manufacturing

3D Fly-Thru

Full-Motion Human Modeling



Digital Pre-Assembly of a Boeing Airplane



- **The Role of Onboard Computing**

Accident Happens…Bad Luck

- A chain of unfortunate events can occur
  - Classic case, Cali, Colombia, Dec 20, 1995
  - A result of pilot error and bad luck
  - But could potentially be avoided with a fly-by-wire system in its final attempt to recover from crash

The Need for Fly-by-Wire



Accident Happens…Pilots

- There are more than 300,000 airline pilots in the world
- Some incompetent from the start
  - Most recent incident, Buffalo, NY, Feb 12, 2009
  - Pilot overpowered automatic stick pusher
  - The airplane stalled as a result and 49 killed
  - Could potentially be avoided if automatic protection was not overridden
- Plenty of once-excellent pilots grow unsafe with time
  - A320 'aerial baptism', Mulhouse, France, Jun 26, 1988
- Personalities and national cultures can matter as much as experience in flight
- Employment seniority can outweigh performance

Fly-by-Wire System

Fly-by-wire control systems

❖replaces manual control of the aircraft with an electronic interface

❖movements of flight controls are converted to electronic signals transmitted by wires

❖flight control computers determine how to move the actuators at each control surface to provide the expected response

❖using electrical control circuits combined with computers, designers can save weight, improve reliability, and use the computers to mitigate the undesirable characteristics

Mechanical and hydro-mechanical flight control systems

❖heavy and require careful routing of flight control cables through the aircraft using systems of pulleys, cranks, tension cables and hydraulic pipes

❖redundant backup to deal with failures, which again increases weight.

❖have limited ability to compensate for changing aerodynamic conditions which can lead to dangerous characteristics such as stall, spinning and pilot-induced oscillations

A340 FBW System



Example of A320 Flight Envelope Protection System:

Load Limit Protection

- prevent the pilot from overstressing the airplane
- never exceeding 2.5 G load limit

Stall Protection

- Three level of low-speed protection
  - Alpha Prot
    - at 10mph below min. speed
    - airplane automatic nose down to speed up
  - Alpha Floor
    - at even lower speed
    - automatically throttles to max. engine thrust
    - automatically retracts speed brakes
    - goes into emergence climb
  - Alpha Max
    - at slowest speed possible
    - full automatic intervention
    - balance the airplane at the edge of a stall

Fail Safe Technologies

- Damage Tolerance
- Automatic Control and Recovery of Airplane From Multiple Failures
- Made Possible by Advanced Electronics, Sensors and Software
- Example: Rockwell Collins Company
  - autonomously mitigate the effects of physical damage that could potentially occur in the air
  - surviving the effects of an adverse damage,
  - allowing the air vehicle to sustain flight and potentially continue its mission
  - instantaneous, autonomous assessment of damage incurred
  - followed by an immediate response that alters the flight control system to compensate for the effects of that damage

Damage Tolerance

Successful flight demonstration of damage tolerant flight control and autonomous landing capabilities on an unmanned subscale F/A-18 on April 18, 2007 in Maryland.

Airborne Software



Avionics control display application environment (Source: DO-178B Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification)

Software development for the Boeing 777

- 4 million lines of code, consisting of 2.5 million lines of newly developed software (6 times of previous Boeing airplane program) and commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) software

Unmanned Flight

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs)

- an aircraft that flies without a human crew on board the aircraft
- historically, UAVs were simple remotely piloted aircraft
- but autonomous control is increasingly being employed in UAVs.

- UAVs come in two varieties
  - controlled from a remote location
  - fly autonomously based on pre-programmed flight plans using more complex dynamic automation systems

- **The Role of Ground Based Computing in Flight Operations**

Heavy Air Traffic Today





There are around 7,000 aircraft in the air over the United States at any given time.

Air Traffic Control

Needs computers to ensure:

- Safety
- Efficiency
- Increased Capacity

Next Generation Air Transportation System



- satellite based navigation and surveillance
- equivalent visual operations
- air traffic management system
- digital data exchange
- prognostic safety system
- informed decisions using integrated weather

Traffic Management Throughout All Phases of Flight



STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

The Role of Computing in Airline Management

Online Flight Search



Online Flight Tracking

STANFORD AERONAUTICS & ASTRONAUTICS

Yield Management System in Airline Industry



Booking history and forecast



Yield management architecture

- Airline Yield Management System
  - In a situation where cost and capacity is fixed while demand is fluctuating, the systems aim at
    - High load factors, as well as
    - High average yield
- Forecasting: Use computers to store 'booking history', analyze characteristic pattern and forecast seats sold on the date of the flight
- Capacity Control: if the forecast shows excess demand, low fares class will be closed to make room for high fare seats, and vice-versa
- Role of Computers: make storing enormous amount of data, and execution of complicated analysis algorithm possible

The Future

- ❖ Increasing penetration of autonomous unmanned air vehicles (UAVs).
- ❖ Drones for delivering and surveillance.
- ❖ Unmanned commercial transport vehicles.
- ❖ Morphing with smart materials and embedded computers.
- ❖ Fusion of computing and flight technologies to match the capabilities of birds.
- ❖ Space tourism.
- ❖ Interplanetary flight.



STANFORD
AERONAUTICS &
ASTRONAUTICS



STANFORD
AERONAUTICS &
ASTRONAUTICS